

**SAE Fatigue Design & Evaluation Committee Meeting Minutes**  
**October 17.20, 2005**

U.S. Army Research, Development & Engineering Comman  
National Automotive Center – U.S. Army Tank Automotive Command (TACOM)

**Tuesday, October 18, 2005**

Meeting called to order by Russ Chernenkoff; Drs. Gorsich and Lamb are in charge of local arrangements.

Curtis Schmidt from the University of Tulsa delivered the Henry O. Fuchs presentation, “The Influence of a Taper on the Stress Concentration Factor of a Shoulder Filleted Shaft,” based on an article which appeared in the Journal of Machine Design in 2001:

- Removing material to improve stress concentration factor
- tapered billet shaft – replace shoulder fillet shaft
- looked at axial, bending and torsion Ansys solutions

David Gorsich presented an overview of the Ground Vehicle Simulation Laboratory – TARDEC and its initiatives: the Advanced Technical Objectives (physics of failure & prognosis; high fidelity ground platform and terrain modeling) as well as

- Accreditation program
- Lab expansion
- Reliability
- PM engineering suppliers
- Universities
- Standards

A movie on lab simulation facilities was viewed.

Scott Smith presented on “FMTV Leaf Spring Tests.” Physical simulation team (PST):

- Failure modes of steel and composite springs
- Reduce weight from 200 to 40 lb.
- Composite springs fail too soon
- Still in development.

Paul Decker presented on “Analytical Simulation Team Efforts in Reliability and Safety”

- Reviewed software tools used
- Addressed benefits of model simulation
- “Reliability tech director initiative”
- “Coupled simulations”
  - Rollover analysis
  - Landmine blast
  - Tank slosh
- Reliability/Safety
  - Braking system in overloaded vehicle
  - Add-on armor

- Increased usage (change in duty cycle)

Dariusz Mikulski spoke on “Run-flat Tire Testing at NATC”. Physical Simulation Team at Ford MPG & NATC; cooperative research contract to develop run flat system.

David Lamb, Parallelizing Reliability Software for Use on High Performance Computers. High performance computer systems to run reliability analysis.

- Want system level or fleet level reliability, not component
- Multi-scale and multi physics
- System reliability
  - component interactions
  - “system” is key measure
- “Multi-scale”
  - multiple components at hot spots
  - vehicle subsystem
  - scale to fleet
  - consider system as a whole
- “Multi-physics”
  - mechanical, thermal, electric, etc.
  - fatigue, corrosion, creep, etc.
- Probabilistic – uncertainty based from material, manufacturing, usage, operating environment, maintenance.
- Parallelize for fleet analysis:
  - Monte Carlo simulations on different processors
  - multi-physics on different processors
  - multi-scale on different processors
  - within one scale and physical domain
- Scalability: as the problem grows how do the solutions grow
- Goal is to predict ground vehicle reliability at the fleet level; start with 1/4-model

Jackie Rehkopf spoke on “High Strain Rate Characterization of Engineering Materials.”

Usage: Crash versus cargo – 400uS/s, below ballistic rates (1000 uS/s).

- Plastics – very sensitive to strain rate.
- Plane stress, uniform stress/strain in gauge length
- Rates from 1 to 800 uS/s, with temperatures from -40C to 120C
- Used 3 material models in FEA to allow increase strength with increased strain rate.
- Test system: piezoelectric Load Cell; (LDE) Laser Doppler Extensometers; Test system must have high natural frequency (kHz)
- LDE selectable gage length down to 4 mm resolution  $\pm 1$  microstrain
- No standard high strain rate test yet

Paul Spiteri presented “Fatigue Limit Assessment of Crankshaft Sections with Inclusion of Residual Stresses.”

- Safety factor estimates include estimate of residual stresses
- Resonant bending test fixture (tuning fork fixture)
- Gage crankshafts for loads to get equivalent reversed operating load (EROL)
- Use crankshaft main-to-main sections
- Test 16 samples
- Crack arrest in crankshaft gives short analytical and resonant test life and acceptable dyno life
- Use staircase method with frequency shift rate as failure criterion
- Used FEA to get rolling stresses and operating stress
- SWT to get damage

Jack Champaigne gave an overview of the Surface Enhancement Group activities.  
Resources: [shotpeener.com](http://shotpeener.com) and [shotpeener.org](http://shotpeener.org).

John Bonnen chairs Weld Challenge V – overview –

- I T bar specimen
- II T bar @ variable amplitude
- III Peened T bar
- IV Square tube
- Ralph – shot peen effects on mild steel has (80?) specimens with shot peened weld on bottom
- 3 R ratios with and without peening
- Laser peen as an option
- Low plasticity burnishing as an option

The committee voted to take low plasticity burnishing off the table.

John reviewed the T bar test results from Peter Kurath

Weld Challenge V

- Hari Agrawal – median lives and locations for designs 1 and 2 (short and long)
  - o short - from  $2.9E5$  to  $4E5$  cycles
  - o long - from  $2.2E4$  to  $2.9E5$  cycles
- Ali Saeedy – 3D model, mesh in Algor, FEA in Algor, B10 life using F class weld, with von Mises - BS7608, 1993
  - o short –  $2E5$  cycles with  $R=0$ ,  $1.4E4$  cycles with  $R=-1$
  - o long – stresses are too high for fatigue
- Al Conle assumes chair as John leaves. Planning session is postponed until Wednesday.

Cindy Jiang delivered presentation on “Fatigue Life of GMAW Lap Joints Made with Different Steels.” DOE uses 3 steels, 2 levels of heat, 2 strength filler metals; Fatigue tests at  $R = 0.1$ ,  $F = 10$  Hz

- reviewed weld geometry evaluations, microhardness survey and statistical analysis
- Results
  - Steel type and heat level are significant factors
  - primary initiation site was at the weld toe

Tana Tjhung spoke on the “Nonproportional Fatigue of Welded Joints Under Variable Amplitude Loading,” – the LTJ model

- Random multiaxial loading (3D loading)
- Equivalent stress based (expanded Sosino’s model)
- Non-proportional coefficient for material
- SCF to convert measured to local stress, Wang-Brown to count cycles
- Sosino’s model is good for tests of sinusoidal regions – Bending, torsion, 90° out-of-phase

Jamison Weirup spoke on spot-weld fatigue: “Evaluation of Factors Influencing the Accuracy of Spot-Weld Fatigue Estimation Using the LBF Approach” – bar element and angle of spot

- From automatic-weld-mesh – large bar length & angle errors will cause large fatigue errors
- Angle errors caused by non parallel meshing, length errors from drawing errors

Russ closed the meeting.

### **Wednesday, October 19, 2005**

Russ called the meeting to order. Next meeting will be April 3-6, 2006, with the SAE Congress in Detroit, rooms available to Holiday Inn Express, details at the website [www.fatigue.org](http://www.fatigue.org). Presented day’s schedule.

Ed Lu presented on a “Comparison of Probabilistic Fatigue Analyses,” “statistical fatigue analysis.”

- Variables include dimension; load spectrum; material properties (Ti-6Al-2Sn-4Zr-2Mo casting); mean stress, environment, bolt preload.
- Considered one-sided tolerance and Monte Carlo simulation

Steve Arms spoke on “Wireless Sensors for Structural Testing and Fatigue Monitoring.”

- Embedded processors
- Harvest energy from environment to eliminate battery (vibration harvest)
- fatigue calculation in processor, can upload user S-N curve
- ‘turtle shell’ rosette enclosure with moisture detection for long term sensing

- base station with GSM/SAT uplink (wireless offset and cal)

Ralph Stephens – Fatigue and durability analysis of 8630 statistically vs. centrifugal cast steel – for Jeff Gradmon from University of Iowa – M.S. thesis

- 90G cast of thick walled (75mm) cylinder and keel block for static cast
- Specimens -- 15 – keel static cast, 10 – outer centrifugal cast, 10 – middle centrifugal cast, 10 – inner centrifugal cast
- Monotonic load vs. “actuator displacement” not strain over 8% strain
- Fatigue test  $R = -1$  for both strain and load control
- Not much difference for smooth specimens and  $R = -1$

Bolt Program – Ralph Stephens, Task Force Leader.

Mike Dejack presents on “Fatigue Prediction in Cylinder Block Threads.”

- Failures in cast aluminum block. Abaqas for FEA. FemFat for fatigue. Enhanced FemFat (Haigh dia – from lab tests on material)
- Modeled thread engagement with constraint equations – did not model threads explicitly
- Moved on to detailed thread sub model
- Global model with assembly load cases and gap eliminated; break out “sub model” of M10 and M8
- Predicted correct failure location.

C.C. Chu on “Fatigue Testing/Analysis of Bolted Structures.”

- Presented a “structure” (posted on web site)
- Center bolt/plate is the “failure site”
- “Plate” failure should have gradient
- Similar to pickup truck bed attachment to frame
- Closed form plate solution based on load and displacement

John Fragnoli on “Unique Consideration for Testing Bolts” – fatigue testing. Lessons learned while testing bolts:

- Test bolts to improve joint life with more realistic performance data
- Test process: ISO 3800 good starting point for test machine/fixtures/alignment
- Alignment – minimize bending by proper fixturing
- Select test loads with end result in mind
- Use washer with clearances for head radius
- Use consistent thru-hole diameter
- Use consistent grip length/engaged thread length
- Isolate factors to steady and control all others: chemistry; heat treatment; shape; grain flow
- Thread roll process effects: discontinuities; residual stress
- Secondary process effects on surface (plated, coated, lubricated)
- Walk test parts through manufacturing process

Kirk Olsen spoke on “Static and Fatigue Bolt Shear Strength Characterization of 15-5PH Stainless Steel and the Effects of Shot Peening.”

- Determine static and fatigue strength of 15-5PH – need high fatigue strength and low static – mechanical fuse for ‘blade out’ condition
- Ran shear fatigue tests on double shear specimens
- Shear endurance limit found to be 5 times lower than classic
- Shear fatigue 5 times lower, Shot peen helps  $\simeq$  (10%)

Bolt Fatigue Group Planning – Ralph Stephens

- What does this committee want to do with bolted joints/systems?
- Dan L. – we have a new challenge from Chin Chan Chu that should generate good discussion/presentations for next meeting.
- Ralph – more presentations?
- Audience – fretting fatigue?
- Dan L. – quizzes audience – wants more presentations
- Audience – take a survey of resources that FD&E members will commit
- Russ – This is a good idea but the commitment changes from day to day.
- Audience – We need to focus a list of target topics to help get resources. Put together a list of fastener topics.
- Darryl Taylor & Prof Sayed volunteer to help.

FD&E Planning Session called to order by Dan Morrow ( new Chairman FD&E)

- John Bonnen, on Weld Fatigue
- Proposes running some tests (2-1/2”) on the tube specimens reported on earlier
- How about Ralph’s single weld on tension side of tube (2” OD x 1/8 wall square), as discussed on Tuesday
  - Dan L. will test some
  - Other volunteers?
- T bar testing – Peter Kurath looking at peened and unpeened, also J. Bonnen will run some peened and unpeened.
- Residual stresses need to be looked at – Dan L. said he might help on this
- Will look into other materials in this square tube
- Start analytically predicting the “field” reliability of these specimens
- Have this group start to look more into the “reliability” of specimens
- Loads -- Dan. L.
- Darryl Taylor, -- Solicit survey from group on needs and interests on fasteners to develop proposal on areas for challenges, papers etc. (fastener education).

Dan M. closed the meeting